DS02R1: Improvements to RERF's Dose Estimates for the Atomic Bomb Survivors

H. Cullings RERF

Topics

OABCC/RERF Dosimetry Systems

ODS02R1 – Improvements OChanges in Dose Estimates OEffect on Risk Estimates

## Dosimetry Systems – What and Why

ODoses had to be *calculated* based on survivors' location and shielding

ONo data from personal monitors
 OBiodosimetric data few and very noisy

Doses calculation based on survivors' location and shielding

**OPractical** because

4

 Source term and location-specific radiation with no shielding could be accurately calculated

OMost survivors' shielding had common features that made modeling feasible



## Radiation vs. Location

OResearch by many investigators over many years

O→ Precise estimates
OOf "bomb parameters"

OUsed in calcuations

## **Bomb Parameters**

- O Location of hypocenter
  - Shadow lines etched on stone surfaces by heat from fireball
- O Bomb yield
  - O Calculations
  - O Measurements of exposed environmental samples
- O Height of burst

7

O Same methods as above

# Fluence

ONumber of neutrons or γ-rays per unit cross-sectional area (e.g., m<sup>-2</sup>)

OSpecific to a category of energy and direction

Fluences  $\leftrightarrow$  Modular nature of DS02 ODS86 and DS02 calculate *fluences* ○ Emanating from bomb (source term) – O calculated from bomb physics O verified empirically by replica devices (prompt), other sources, nuclear weapons tests O At ground level after scattering and attenuation in the air-overflat-ground environment ( $\rightarrow$  free-in-air kerma) O Major transport calc's were 2D finite-elements ("discrete ordinates" spatial mesh) method assuming cylindrical symmetry O Large 3D forward Monte Carlo calculations were also done for **DS02** 9 University of Tokyo December 2 2017 Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop

#### Fluences $\leftrightarrow$ Modular nature of DS02

#### ODS86 and DS02 calculate *fluences*

- O After successive levels of shielding ( $\rightarrow$  shielded kerma)
  - Terrain terrain model uses "grazing angles" to horizon in 5 azimuthal directions
  - Structures (house, etc.) model house cluster
- After self-shielding by overlying tissues of survivor's body
  - $\bigcirc$   $\rightarrow$  fluences traversing an organ of interest
    - O Converted to *dose*
  - O DS02 uses the old stylized phantoms of DS86

# DS02 Models



Figure 14. External configuration of three phantoms representing adult, child, and infant survivors

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

# Survivor Location and Shielding

- Huge effort to obtain data on location and shielding conditions for individual survivors
- O Many surveys over the years in 1950s and 1960s
- Most important: shielding histories with drawings of house etc.
  - Stopped in 1963 when complete to 1600 m in Hiroshima and 2000 m in Nagasaki

O 42% complete between 1600 and 2000 m in Hiroshima

# Shielding Histories



# DS02R1

# Improved Input Data

### **Original Source Documents**

- Data re location and shielding at time of bombing were originally collected from 1949-1963 by interviews using various forms
- For DS02R1, all data were newly vetted, collated, and prioritized based on reliability
  - Used most reliable datum for each survivor
- Various errors were corrected
- O Survivors without shielding histories located by coordinates on 1945 U.S. Army maps
  - Digits for 10s of yards (1 yard = 9.14 m) previously truncated from U.S. Army map coordinates in some cases were restored

#### Precise Location of Neighborhood Diagrams from Shielding Histories

 Create an orthophotographic mosaic of the pre-bombing aerial photographs of each city

O Align new city maps with orthophotographic mosaics

O Locate each neighborhood diagram and align with the orthophotographic mosaic using street corners etc. as control points

#### Orthophotographic Mosaic of Hiroshima Made from Pre-bombing Aerial Photographs



#### Drawings in Shielding Histories

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

# Correction of Distortions in U.S. Army Maps

• Pick control points consisting of road intersections, bridges, etc.

 O Uniquely identifiable and unmoved on both orthophotographic mosaic and U.S. Army map

 Align map to orthophotographic mosaic using a flexible "rubber sheeting" method

O Stretch or compress map differently in different areas

# Changes in Distance Due to Correction of Distortions in U.S. Army Map of Hiroshima

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

### Survivor Locations on Contemporary Maps Hiroshima Nagasaki

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

Background: Digital Map 25000, Geographical Survey Institute of Japan, 2002. Circles of black dots at 2 and 3 km from hypocenter. Color key, in order of drawing, starting with bottom layer: dark gray = unknown dose, pink < 5 mGy, purple 5 to 100, blue 100 to 200, green 200 to 500, yellow 500 to 1,000, orange 1,000 to 2,000, red > 2,000.

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

Revised and Greatly Expanded Terrain Shielding Input Data

O Obtain new "grazing angles" for ALL survivors

O To use as input data for terrain shielding

 O Using survivor's new location and digital terrain elevation data on a ~10-m grid

O Extensive checking vs. 1966 data for 315 Nagasaki "globe terrain" cases

 O Correct angles for survivor's elevation above sea level

#### Digital Terrain Data in the Two Cities Hiroshima Nagasaki

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Contours shown are at elevations of 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, ..., 90, 100, 120, ..., 320, 340 m (by 5 m intervals to 20 m, 10 m intervals to 100 m, 20 m intervals to 340 m). The white circle has radius 2 km.

#### Locations of Substantially Terrain-Shielded Survivors

#### Hiroshima

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

Key: terrain  $\gamma$ -ray transmission factor  $< 0.3 \quad 0.3 - 0.5 \quad 0.5 - 0.7 \quad 0.7 - 0.9$ 

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **Other Changes**

OImproved method of truncating high dose estimates to 4 gray total dose

OMore appropriate neutron/gamma-ray ratio

OFixed error in DS02's calculation of combined house and terrain shielding

#### Systematic Changes: DS02R1 vs. DS02

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

### Effect on Risk Estimates: Cancer Mortality

	Report 14 Public Data DS02 (orig) *				
	Full Dose Range		<2 Gy	**	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Linear	0.25 (0.06, 0.47)	0.47 (0.24, 0.73)	0.06 (-0.18, 0.32)	0.35 (0.06, 0.67)	
Quadratic	0.013 (-0.08, 0.11)	0.062 (-0.07, 0.20)	0.20 (0.01, 0.39)	0.17 (-0.06, 0.41)	
Curvature	0.05	0.13	3.2	0.50	
p-value (curvature)	p>0.50	p=0.36	p=0.03	p=0.14	
Effect Modification					
Age at exposure (per decade increase)	-29%		-29%		
Attained age (power)***	-0.85		-0.92	%	
Deviance	18298.1		18289	<sup>0.3</sup> 0.34417	
df	531	145	53141		
	Report 14 Data rerun with DS02R1***				
	Full Dose Range		<2 Gy**		
	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Linear	0.23 (0.04, 0.44)	0.48 (0.24, 0.75)	0.11 (-0.12, 0.37)	0.27 (0, 0.57)	
Quadratic	0.03 (-0.07, 0.13)	0.06 (-0.07, 0.21)	0.13 (-0.05, 0.32)	0.27 (0.05, 0.50)	
Curvature	0.12	0.13	1.14	1.01	
p-value (curvature)	p>0.50	p=0.37	p=0.15	p=0.02	
Effect Modification					
Age at exposure (per decade increase)	-31%		-32%		
Attained age (power)	-0.83		-0.8	9	
Deviance	18321.6		1831	<b>0.33647</b>	
df	54426		54422		

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

# DS02R1 Summary

OSeveral major improvements in dosimetry input data

OSystematic changes in dose estimates mainly due to

Omethod of truncating high doses to 4 Gy (high doses) and

Oaddition of previously-ignored terrain shielding (low doses)

# DS02R1 Summary

○ Random changes believed to → overall reduction of random error in DS02 doses

O Changes in risk estimates

O Small for major risk parameters

Oe.g., ERR for an overall linear dose-response

O But sometimes more substantial for morerestricted parameters

Oe.g., sex-specific curvature in ERR on doses < 2 Gy

**Reference:** *Health Physics* 112(1), 56-97 (2017)

O DS02R1: Improvements to Atomic Bomb Survivors' Input Data and Implementation of Dosimetry System 2002 (DS02) and Resulting Changes in Estimated Doses

- Cullings HM<sup>1</sup>, Grant EJ<sup>2</sup>, Egbert SD<sup>6</sup>, Watanabe T<sup>2</sup>, Oda T<sup>2</sup>, Nakamura F<sup>2</sup>, Yamashita T<sup>2</sup>, Fuchi H<sup>2</sup>, Funamoto S<sup>1</sup>, Marumo K<sup>3</sup>, Sakata R<sup>2</sup>, Kodama Y<sup>4</sup>, Ozasa K<sup>2</sup>, Kodama K<sup>5</sup>
- Departments of <sup>1</sup>Statistics, <sup>2</sup>Epidemiology, <sup>3</sup>Information Technology, <sup>4</sup>Biosample Center, and <sup>5</sup>Chief Scientist, RERF; <sup>6</sup>LEIDOS Corporation, San Diego, California

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Organ Dosimetry New phantoms and calculations

# Key points

- ODS02 uses an organ dose module inherited from DS86, created in the early 1980s
- O The organ dose module has substantial deficiencies
- O Due to the modular nature of DS02, a new organ dose module could be created to replace the current module without changing other parts of DS02

### DS86/DS02 organ dose module

- Simple models of the human body
  - e.g., spheres, cylinders, truncated cones, etc.
- 3 models: infant (0 to 2 yrs), child (3 to 11 yrs), adult (12+ yrs)
- O No pregnant woman + fetus
  - Current expedient: uterine dose of non-pregnant woman
- Calculates 15 organs
  - Which were thought to be of concern ~ 1983
    - i.e., as sites of cancer
  - Many other organs are contained in contemporary phantoms, both stylized and voxel types

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

# DS86/DS02 Phantoms

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### A Contemporary Stylized\* Phantom

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### \*surfaces described by mathematical equations

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

### A Contemporary Pediatric Series

e.g., ~ 5 phantoms to capture changes in body size and anatomical proportions throughout growth and development

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### University of Florida series, courtesy of Wes Bolch

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

# The DS86/DS02 Organ Dose Module

 Does not include many tissues and organs related to radiation effects recognized after early 1980s

○ e.g., heart, kidney, etc.

 Does not have a way to calculate partial body shielding for factory workers behind benches or machine tools

- Couples the fluences at 1 m or 15 cm above ground (standing/sitting vs. lying down) to the body phantom
  - Entire body therefore receives the same shielding, no partial-body shielding calculable
- Need a combined phantom with body and bench/tool both inside coupling surface

And related calculations

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

### Partial-Body Shielding of Factory Workers

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### DS02's available calculated fluences in factory model at a location behind a bench

39

### Partial-Body Shielding of Factory Workers

Current DS02 calculation: before blast wave (left) and after (right).

O

# Partial-Body Shielding of Factory Workers

Planned type of model: before blast wave (left) and after (right).

6

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

# DS86/DS02 Organs

bladder breast brain colon eye (lens) liver lung ovaries pancreas bone marrow skeleton stomach testes thyroid uterus

- Not included: heart, kidneys, major blood vessels, teeth (for ESR), other possible organs of interest
- Skin dose usually taken as = shielded kerma, a questionable assumption
- Correctness of DS86 calculation for lens of eye is questionable

## Body self-shielding for γrays is modest

- Due to high overall energy of photons
- But related dose reductions are not trivial...
- Effects of survivor orientation are calculated but input data are questionable
  - Rotationally-averaged values can be used

Table 75. Transmission Factors Prompt Gamma-ray Kerma in All Organs of Adult (55 kg), Child (19.8 kg), and Infant (9.7 kg) Japanese Phantoms, Relative to the Free Field, Nagasaki

Organ	Transmission (Organ Kerma <sup>n</sup> : Free-Field Kerma				
	BEIR-80 <sup>b</sup>	Adult <sup>C</sup>	Child <sup>c</sup>	Infant <sup>c</sup>	
Active Marrow	0.56	0.780	0.817	0.971	
Bladder	0.45	0.850	0.913	0.865	
Bone	-	0.823	0.879	0.836	
Brain	-	0.795	0.847	0.840	
Breasts	0.80	0.937	0.887	1.010	
Eyes	-	0.944	1.018	0.975	
Fetus/Uterus	0.42	0.819	0.779	0.910	
Intestinal Tract	0.40	0.823	0.903	0.837	
Kidney	0.52	-	-	-	
Liver	0.47	0.897	0.921	0.926	
Lungs	0.50	0.796	0.925	0.926	
Ovaries	0.40	0.752	0.868	0.934	
Pancreas	0.40	0.809	0.825	0.876	
Stomach	0.47	0.869	0.922	0.968	
lestes	-	1.044	0.983	0.896	
fhyroid	0.70	1.063	0.983	0.972	

#### <sup>8</sup>Soft tissue Kerma.

<sup>b</sup>Table V-6, page 162, The Effects on Populations of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation: 1980, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1980. Isotropically incident radiation on adult phantom.

<sup>C</sup>Transmission calculated using reduced (6000 history) DS86 organ data base at 1500 meters from hypocenter, standing phantom, facing hypocenter.

# Body self-shielding for neutrons IS PRONOUNCED

 Due to frequent mechanical collisions with protons (nuclei of H atoms)

Transmission (Organ Kerma <sup>a</sup> ; Free-Field Kerma <sup>a</sup> )				
BEIR-80 <sup>b</sup>	Adult <sup>C</sup>	Child <sup>C</sup>	Infant <sup>C</sup>	
0.28	0.338	0.433	0.556	
0.18	0.302	0.377	0.512	
-	0.400	0.534	0.557	
-	0.419	0.494	0.544	
0.55	0.773	0.843	0.783	
-	0.751	0.855	0.876	
0.14	0.177	0.326	0.348	
0.14	0.229	0.334	0.412	
0.24	-	- Reading	-	
0.18	0.337	0.464	0.470	
0.22	0.364	0.418	0.498	
0.12	0.216	0.276	0.332	
0.12	0.213	0.298	0.376	
0.18	0.369	0.475	0.490	
-	0.508	0.563	0.593	
0.45	0.569	0.525	0.533	
	Transmission BEIR-80 <sup>b</sup> 0.28 0.18 - - 0.55 - 0.14 0.24 0.18 0.22 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.13	Transmission (Organ Ker           BEIR-80 <sup>b</sup> Adult <sup>c</sup> 0.28         0.338           0.18         0.302           -         0.400           -         0.400           -         0.419           0.55         0.773           -         0.751           0.14         0.177           0.14         0.229           0.24         -           0.18         0.337           0.22         0.364           0.12         0.213           0.18         0.369           -         0.508           0.45         0.569	Transmission (Organ Kerma <sup>4</sup> + Free-1 BEIR-80 <sup>b</sup> Adult <sup>c</sup> Child <sup>c</sup> 0.28         0.338         0.433           0.18         0.302         0.377           -         0.400         0.534           -         0.419         0.494           0.55         0.773         0.843           -         0.751         0.855           0.14         0.177         0.326           0.14         0.177         0.326           0.14         0.229         0.334           0.22         0.364         0.418           0.12         0.216         0.276           0.18         0.369         0.475           -         0.508         0.563	

Table 74. Transmission Factors for Prompt Neutron Kerma in All Organs of Adult (55 kg), Child (19.8 kg), and Infant (9.7 kg) Japanese Phantoms, Relative to the Free Field, Nagasaki

#### <sup>a</sup>Soft tissue Kerma.

<sup>b</sup>Table V-6, page 162, The Effects on Populations of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation: 1980, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1980. Isotropically incident radiation on adult phantom.

CTransmission calculated using reduced (6000 history) DS86 organ data base at 1500 meters from hypocenter, standing phantom, facing hypocenter.

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop 2017

University of Tokyo December 2

How large are errors with current system?

O Errors in transmission factors for current 15 organs?

O Errors in using one of current 15 organs as a surrogate for some other organ?

O Initial plan: do a set of evaluative calculations using available Asian phantoms

 O Working group developed a staged plan for the work

Joint ICRP-RERF-JPHS Workshop University of Tokyo December 2 2017

Dosimetric Uncertainty

Classical + Berkson Error

#### Classical + Berkson Error Model

 $O W = L + U_C; X = L + U_B$ 

- O L is some latent variable, e.g., true dose for some group
- W is observed dose for an individual
- X is true dose for an individual
- O U<sub>C</sub> is classical error
- O U<sub>B</sub> is Berkson error
- Berkson error could result from either
  - O Imprecision in the input data, or
  - Some averaging or some assignment of representative values to survivors in groups
    - that is done by DS02

#### Adjustment of Dose Estimates for Dose Uncertainty

 Currently using method of Pierce, Stram and Vaeth with 35% classical error (1997 paper)

 Considering method of Pierce, Vaeth and Cologne with 40% classical error and additional Berkson (averaging) error (2007 paper)

O Size of Berkson error?

O Current work