

# **Calculation of the Decommissioning Radiation Field of** Nuclear Power Plants Based on the Coupling of MC and **Point Kernel Integration**



GUO Yu-fei<sup>1,2</sup>, LIU Yan-fang<sup>1,2</sup>, WANG Li<sup>1,2</sup>, LIU Shui-qing<sup>1</sup>, ZHANG Hang-zhou<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Nuclear Power Institute of China, Chengdu, 610005, China

<sup>2</sup>Sichuan Engineering Laboratory for Nuclear Facilities Decommissioning and Radwaste Management, Chengdu, 610005, China

### Introduction

In the three-dimensional simulation system for the decommissioning of nuclear power plants, the radiation field level of the decommissioning site needs to be displayed in **real time** with the decontamination and demolition of the nuclear facilities.



Figure 1.1 Visual display of 3D radiation field



**Table 3.4** Calculation accuracy of the coupling method for different grid numbers in the single source model

	F <sub>MC-PK</sub> /F <sub>MC</sub>		
Point		2	
	A & R: 2.5cm	A &R: 1cm	
1	3.177	3.178	
2	3.088	3.088	
3	2.836	2.837	
4	3.090	3.091	
5	3.502	3.503	
6	3.657	3.658	
7	3.945	3.946	
8	4.128	4.129	
Q	3 955	3 956	

- It requires the radiation field calculation module  $\bullet$ to calculate the radiation field level **quickly** and accurately.
- **Space problem**: geometrically simple regions and geometrically complex regions both exist in in the decommissioning site.
- The three basic methods of radiation field calculation can not solve the problem and then can not meet the requirements of calculation speed and accuracy.
- The **MC-PK coupling method** is proposed.

Methods	Discrete ordinate (S <sub>N</sub> )	Point kernel integration (PK)	Monte Carlo (MC)
Applicable region	Simple	Simple	Complex
Calculation time	Long	Short	Long
Accuracy	High	Low	High

#### Table 1 1 The adv and disad of three basic methods

## **MC-PK Coupling Method**

-800	0	1000 X(CM)

**Figure 3.1** Single source calculation model (0.662MeV, 1.0E6Bq)

#### **Table 3.1** Result comparison of the coupling method and MC method in the single source model

	γ energy flux rates (MeV/(cm²∙s))		
Point	Coupling method	MCNP5	Ratios
1	4.6630E-03	1.4678E-03	3.177
2	3.5499E-03	1.1497E-03	3.088
3	8.3030E-04	2.9278E-04	2.836
4	6.4362E-03	2.0831E-03	3.090
5	2.2223E-03	6.3462E-04	3.502
6	4.5223E-03	1.2367E-03	3.657
7	2.8517E-03	7.2289E-04	3.945
8	2.0303E-03	4.9189E-04	4.128
9	3.8058E-03	9.6218E-04	3.955
10	3.1389E-03	7.3675E-04	4.260

### • $F_{MC-PK}/F_{MC} = 3-5$

- Calculation time(CPU: 2.9GHz Memory: 2GB)  $\bullet$ 
  - MCNP5: 4h  $\bullet$
  - MC-PK: 30min + 40min

4.260	4.262

**Table 3.5** Calculation accuracy of the coupling method for different energy group spacings in the single source model

	F <sub>MC-PI</sub>	к <b>/F</b> <sub>MC</sub>
Point	0.2MeV	0.05MeV
1	3.177	2.968
2	3.088	2.877
3	2.836	2.600
4	3.090	2.913
5	3.502	3.260
6	3.657	3.443
7	3.945	3.696
8	4.128	3.855
9	3.955	3.731
10	4.260	4.014

### $\gamma$ energy $\uparrow$

 $\bullet$ 

10

- $accuracy \uparrow$
- Grid number↑ calculation time  $\uparrow$  $\bullet$
- MC-PK coupling method has good stability.

# Calculation of Qinshan I



Basic idea:

- To use MC in geometrically complex regions;  $\bullet$
- To use PK in geometrically simple regions;
- To transform particle parameters on the coupling surface.



Figure 2.1 Decommissioning site division of a nuclear power plant

Assuming that the MC region is a pure emitter, it can be derived from the Boltzmann equation:

 $j_n^+(\boldsymbol{r}_s, E, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) = S_A(\boldsymbol{r}_s, E, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ 



Table 3.2 Calculation accuracy of the coupling method for different photon energies in the single source model

	F <sub>MC-PK</sub> /F <sub>MC</sub>			
Point	0.662MeV	0.83MeV	1.17MeV	1.33MeV
1	3.177	2.509	1.792	1.847
2	3.088	2.857	1.947	1.879
3	2.836	2.667	1.913	1.964
4	3.090	2.885	2.017	1.948
5	3.502	3.247	2.270	2.168
6	3.657	3.229	2.232	2.137
7	3.945	3.464	2.326	2.279
8	4.128	3.685	2.534	2.370
9	3.955	3.556	2.441	2.298
10	4.260	3.726	2.524	2.396

**Table 3.3** Calculation accuracy of the coupling method for different shield thicknesses in the single source model

		₣ <sub>мс-рк</sub> /₣ <sub>мс</sub>	
Point	5cm	20cm	40cm
1	2.521	3.177	5.362
2	2.712	3.088	2.765
3	3.268	2.836	0.897
4	2.672	3.090	3.821
5	3.137	3.502	3.017
б	2.939	3.657	4.106
7	3.176	3.945	3.932
8	3.351	4.128	4.023
9	3.139	3.955	4.709
10	3.245	4.260	4.912



Figure 4.1 The simplified model of Qinshan I

**Table 4.1** Result comparison of the coupling method and MC

 method in Qinshan I

	Air-absorbed dose rates (mSv/h)		
Point	Coupling method	MCNP5	Ratios
1	4.3988E+02	7.3010E+01	6.02
2	4.1344E+03	2.7059E+03	1.53
3	6.3208E+03	6.4018E+03	0.99
4	4.1344E+03	6.5739E+03	0.63

- $D_{MC-PK}/D_{MC} < 7$
- Calculation time (CPU: 4.6GHz Memory: 64GB)

• MCNP5: 8h

• MC-PK: 1h + 5min

### Conclusions

sphere source (the length of the arrow in the figure is proportional to the  $\gamma$  energy)

- The coupling method has reasonable accuracy, short calculation time and good stability.
- The MC-PK coupling method is adequate for the requirements of radiation field calculation in decommissioning of nuclear power plants.

# Contact

### GUO Yu-fei

### Nuclear Power Institute of China

Sichuan Engineering Laboratory for Nuclear Facilities Decommissioning and Radwaste Management Email:guoyf13@163.com Phone:+86 18380364221

# References

[1] GUO Ya-ping, FU Meng-ting, ZHANG Ze-huan, SONG Ying-ming. Study on the Rapid Calculation Method of Radiation Field.[A]. Proceeding of the 2nd Nuclear Power Plant Radioactive Waste Management Symposium of China Nuclear Energy Industry Association[C]: 2018: 69-75. [2] B. Davision. Neutron Transport Theory. Oxford University Press, 174(1957). [3] XI Fu-kun, FANG Bang-cheng. A Monte Carlo Method of the Point Kernel Integration for Gamma-Rays Calculation. Nuclear Power Engineering, 1987,8(2): 66-74.

[4] SONG Yu-shou, LIU Hui-lan, LI Wei. Monte Carlo Simulation of Particle Detectors[M]. Chongqing: Chongqing University Press, 2016.05: 4-10.

[5] OUYANG Yu. Design and Construction of Qinshan Nuclear Power Plant[J]. Nuclear Power Engineering, 1985, 6(6): 481-489.

[6] ZHU Mei-ying, XU Tao-zhong. Modeling Analysis and Estimation Report of Radioactive Retention[R]. Chengdu: Nuclear Power Institute of China, 2018.

[7] Frank Herbert Attix. Introduction to Radiological Physics and Radiation Dosimetry[M]. Beijing: Atomic Energy Press, 2013.05: 41.

[8] YANG De-feng, XUE Na, CHENG He-ping, MAO Ya-wei. MCNP and DORT Couptation Method[J]. Science and Technology Review, 2013,31(18): 53-56.

[9] HAN Jing-ru, CHEN Yi-xue, SHI Sheng-chun, YUAN Long-jun, LU Dao-gang. Development of Three-dimensional Coupled Code System Based on Discrete Ordinates and Monte Carlo Method[J]. Chinese Journal of Nuclear Science and Engineering, 2012,32(2): 160-164.

[10] BI Yuan-jie, YANG Hong-wei, GUO Jin-sen, LUO Zhi-ping, LI Chuan-long, CHEN Ling. Study on Fast Radiation Transport Calculation Methodology in Decommissioning of Research Reactor[J]. Atomic Energy Science and Technology, 2015, 49(S1): 470-474.

[11] BI Yuan-jie, YANG Hong-wei, LUO Zhi-ping, GUO Jin-sen, LI Chuan-long. Coupling Method for Fast Calculation of Three-dimensional Radiation Field[J]. Annual Report of China Institute of Atomic Energy. 2013: 246. [12] ZHANG Yong-ling, HU Yi-fei, LIU Meng, ZHANG Kai-yun, DAI Bo, ZHANG Hang-zhou. Study of Nuclear Reactor Decommissioning Simulation Key Technologies[A]. Atomic Energy Press[C]. 2015:64-65-66-67-68-69-70. [13] LUO Shang-geng, ZHANG Zhen-tao, ZHANG Hua. Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities and Radiation Facilities[M]. Beijing: China Environmental Science Press, 2011. [14] WANG Jun-feng, WANG Shao, Liu Kun-xian, ZHANG Tian-xiang. Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities[M]. Beijing: Atomic Energy Press, 2013.